

Module 12.2

Dynamic Risk Measures ABM-Based Binomial Models

1

Overview

- Approach like Module 12.1
- Performance of 7 strategies, 3 variables simulated, and 3 different strike prices
- Focus, for illustration, on correlation effects
 - Stock returns and volatility
 - Stock returns and interest rates
 - Volatility and interest rates



© Financial Risk Management, LLC

2

2

Central Finance Concepts

- Explore performance of seven strategies
- Monte Carlo simulate three input variables
- Evaluate three strike prices
- Goal
 - Illustrate power of simulation
 - Potentially identify outcomes not seen in history



© Financial Risk Management, LLC

3

3

Seven Strategies

1. Long stock
2. Long call
3. Long put
4. Covered call writing (1. – 2.)
5. Protective put buying (1. + 3.)
6. Leveraged calls (1. + 2.)
7. Leveraged puts (1. – 3.)



© Financial Risk Management, LLC

4

4

Simulation of Three Variables

- Stock price
- Volatility
- Interest rate
- Explore correlation effects typically absent in option-based strategy analysis



© Financial Risk Management, LLC

5

5

Three Strike Prices

- Low strike price (call ITM, put OTM)
- At-the-money strike price
- High strike price (call OTM, put ITM)
- Enables exploration of influence of implied leverage influence on performance
- Return VaR-focused based on correlation



© Financial Risk Management, LLC

6

6

Inputs Assumed

- Stock price = \$100
- Strike prices
 - XL = \$90
 - X = \$100
 - XH = \$110
- Interest rate = 5%
- Dividend yield = 0%
- Volatility = \$29.8848 (Calibrated to 30% GBM model)
- Maturity = 1 year
- Steps = 250
- Style
 - European (Panel A)
 - American (Panel B)
- Type = Vanilla
- EMM probability = 50%



© Financial Risk Management, LLC

7

Simulation Inputs Assumed

- Horizon = 1 month
- Confidence level = 90%
- Simulations = 2,000
- Means
 - Stock = 5%
 - Rate = 0%
 - Volatility = 0%
- Standard deviations
 - Stock = 30%
 - Rate = 10%
 - Volatility = 40%
- Correlations
 - Stock, Rate = -0.3
 - Rate, Volatility = 0.0
 - Stock, Volatility = -0.5



© Financial Risk Management, LLC

8

7

8

Table 12.2.1 Return VaR Based on ABM BOVM Stock Return and Volatility Correlation

Panel A: European-style										Panel B: American-style									
Strategy/Correlation	-0.75	-0.50	-0.25	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	0.75	0.75	Strategy/Correlation	-0.75	-0.50	-0.25	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	0.75	0.75
LS	2.77	2.63	2.73	2.76	2.61	2.80	2.76	2.86	2.84	2.84	2.57	2.80	2.60	2.77	2.80	2.60	2.77	2.80	2.77
LCXL	8.71	11.13	13.01	14.20	16.22	17.26	18.41	10.10	11.48	12.67	13.99	15.71	16.42	17.89	18.41	16.42	17.89	18.41	17.89
LCX	10.87	14.73	17.41	18.91	21.85	23.13	24.78	11.35	15.33	16.81	18.93	21.30	22.18	24.40	24.78	22.18	24.40	24.78	24.40
LCXH	15.62	20.76	23.37	25.32	29.24	30.93	33.36	16.05	20.61	23.27	26.75	28.64	29.39	32.56	33.36	29.39	32.56	33.36	32.56
LPXL	43.40	40.30	39.04	36.22	32.13	27.77	25.50	42.77	39.98	38.81	34.39	30.84	27.49	22.75	25.50	30.84	27.49	22.75	25.50
LPX	34.02	31.06	29.99	27.25	24.24	19.78	16.09	33.31	30.30	29.27	26.13	23.12	20.36	15.94	16.09	26.13	23.12	20.36	15.94
LPXH	25.94	23.70	22.37	20.13	17.92	14.51	11.38	24.89	22.62	21.74	19.19	16.96	14.76	12.28	11.38	16.96	14.76	12.28	11.38
LCCWXL	1.96	1.76	1.43	1.13	0.92	0.66	0.14	2.04	1.70	1.48	1.21	0.90	0.60	0.13	0.14	1.21	0.90	0.60	0.13
LCCWX	2.37	2.03	1.75	1.45	1.12	0.83	0.27	2.44	2.05	1.85	1.48	1.17	0.77	0.24	0.27	1.48	1.17	0.77	0.24
LCCWXH	2.62	2.19	1.99	1.68	1.32	0.94	0.45	2.68	2.25	2.04	1.64	1.34	0.95	0.37	0.45	1.64	1.34	0.95	0.37
LPBXL	1.33	1.81	2.13	2.37	2.77	2.97	3.20	1.40	1.88	2.09	2.33	2.65	2.80	3.08	3.20	2.65	2.80	3.08	3.20
LPBXX	1.09	1.57	1.91	2.10	2.48	2.67	2.86	1.12	1.63	1.83	2.11	2.36	2.47	2.78	2.86	2.47	2.78	2.78	2.78
LPBXXH	0.94	1.34	1.57	1.71	2.04	2.18	2.37	0.94	1.29	1.49	1.71	1.85	1.89	2.11	2.37	1.89	2.11	2.11	2.11
LLCXL	3.52	3.85	4.24	4.47	4.69	5.04	5.28	3.74	3.94	4.22	4.25	4.77	4.88	5.26	5.28	4.77	4.88	5.26	5.26
LLCX	3.38	3.77	4.19	4.41	4.72	5.12	5.35	3.56	3.86	4.14	4.28	4.82	4.93	5.30	5.35	4.82	4.93	5.30	5.30
LLCXH	3.24	3.63	4.02	4.24	4.58	5.01	5.20	3.40	3.72	4.01	4.12	4.66	4.76	5.14	5.20	4.66	4.76	5.14	5.14
LLPXL	4.92	4.32	4.19	3.73	3.28	2.90	2.62	5.11	4.56	4.34	3.58	3.35	2.88	2.41	2.62	3.58	3.35	2.88	2.41
LLPX	5.65	4.96	4.85	4.34	3.81	3.48	3.06	5.86	5.25	5.00	4.19	3.94	3.40	2.89	3.06	4.19	3.94	3.40	2.89
LLPXH	6.50	5.66	5.54	5.04	4.46	4.16	3.77	6.67	6.07	5.75	4.88	4.71	4.13	3.72	3.77	4.88	4.71	4.13	3.72

Key Insights (All similar to GBM): 1) Return VaR is slow to converge as illustrated with LS (correlation should have no influence). 2) For LC, Return VaR increases with X and for LP, Return VaR decreases with X (implied leverage effect). 3) Option blend strategies have lower Return VaR. 4) Compared with LS, lower Return VaR for LCCW and LPB due to deleveraging, whereas higher Return VaR for LLC and LLP due to leveraging. 5) Correlation between stock return and volatility has significant impact on Return VaR although theoretically no impact on model option values.



© Financial Risk Management, LLC

9

9

Key Insights: 1) Patterns observed from prior table (and GBM results) remain the same. 2) Correlation between stock returns and interest rates do not have a material influence on option strategy performance.



© Financial Risk Management, LLC

10

10

Table 12.2.3 Return VaR Based on ABM BOVM Volatility and Interest Rate Correlation

Panel A: European-style										Panel B: American-style									
Strategy/Correlation	-0.75	-0.50	-0.25	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	0.75	0.75	Strategy/Correlation	-0.75	-0.50	-0.25	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	0.75	0.75
LS	2.81	2.80	2.76	2.78	2.68	2.70	2.63	2.66	2.76	2.61	2.65	2.60	2.83	2.76	2.80	2.60	2.76	2.80	2.76
LCXL	11.22	10.75	11.28	10.85	10.82	11.00	10.35	11.17	10.91	10.94	10.71	11.04	11.30	11.36	11.36	11.30	11.36	11.36	11.36
LCX	14.54	14.09	15.00	14.63	14.52	14.87	13.84	15.09	14.51	14.38	14.07	14.64	15.02	15.04	15.04	15.02	15.04	15.04	15.04
LCXH	20.28	19.29	20.05	20.12	20.00	20.14	19.44	21.11	19.86	20.25	19.56	20.42	20.76	20.85	20.85	20.76	20.85	20.85	20.85
LPXL	39.79	39.69	41.28	40.58	39.68	42.19	41.84	39.95	40.15	39.89	41.79	41.05	40.45	40.00	40.00	41.05	40.45	40.00	40.00
LPX	30.87	30.34	32.45	31.11	30.69	32.35	32.24	31.08	30.49	30.96	31.86	31.74	31.91	31.58	31.58	31.86	31.74	31.91	31.58
LPXH	23.48	22.80	24.65	23.29	22.42	24.38	24.45	23.57	22.80	22.33	23.93	23.96	23.86	23.42	23.42	23.96	23.86	23.96	23.42
LCCWXL	1.63	1.66	1.77	1.81	1.69	1.70	1.72	1.63	1.78	1.75	1.72	1.72	1.73	1.77	1.77	1.72	1.73	1.77	1.77
LCCWX	1.96	2.05	2.13	2.14	2.07	2.00	2.07	1.98	2.13	2.05	2.08	2.04	2.09	2.10	2.10	2.08	2.09	2.10	2.10
LCCWXH	2.18	2.27	2.31	2.31	2.21	2.21	2.26	2.19	2.37	2.26	2.29	2.27	2.35	2.35	2.35	2.29	2.35	2.35	2.35
LPBXL	1.84	1.74	1.83	1.74	1.74	1.77	1.64	1.84	1.77	1.77	1.71	1.77	1.82	1.81	1.81	1.77	1.82	1.81	1.81
LPBXX	1.57	1.50	1.61	1.55	1.51	1.57	1.43	1.63	1.54	1.54	1.48	1.54	1.59	1.56	1.56	1.54	1.59	1.56	1.56
LPBXXH	1.35	1.25	1.30	1.30	1.27	1.29	1.21	1.34	1.24	1.28	1.22	1.26	1.27	1.28	1.28	1.22	1.26	1.27	1.28
LLCXL	4.05	3.90	4.09	3.89	3.97	3.87	3.74	3.78	3.93	3.86	3.74	3.86	3.96	3.93	3.93	3.86	3.96	3.93	3.93
LLCX	3.97	3.81	3.96	3.77	3.80	3.75	3.62	3.75	3.81	3.81	3.64	3.75	3.82	3.87	3.87	3.81	3.82	3.87	3.87
LLCXH	3.83	3.65	3.78	3.62	3.64	3.60	3.49	3.58	3.67	3.65	3.47	3.59	3.73	3.73	3.73	3.67	3.73	3.73	3.73
LLPXL	4.43	4.53	4.55	4.50	4.48	4.34	4.39	4.41	4.61	4.37	4.59	4.51	4.58	4.62	4.62	4.59	4.58	4.62	4.62
LLPX	5.11	5.21	5.24	5.18	5.17	5.01	5.06	5.08	5.30	5.03	5.25	5.21	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.25	5.31	5.31	5.31
LLPXH	5.81	5.92	5.98	5.95	5.95	5.75	5.77	5.78	6.04	5.71	5.94	5.92	6.15	6.05	6.05	5.94	6.15	6.05	6.05

Key Insights: 1) Patterns observed from prior tables (and GBM) remain the same. 2) Correlation between volatility and interest rates do not have a material influence on option strategy performance.



© Financial Risk Management, LLC

11

11

Quantitative Finance Materials

- Analysis presented here based on valuation models previously covered
 - Module 5.3 (Valuation)
 - Module 8.2 (Static Risk Measures)
- Large number of alternative strategies could be covered
 - Alternative option-based strategies
 - Portfolios of stocks rather than just one



© Financial Risk Management, LLC

12

12

GBM- and ABM-Based BOVM

■ GBM-based BOVM

$$\begin{aligned} O_0 &= PV_r[E_0(O_T)] \\ &= PV_r\left[\sum_{j=0}^n \Pr(n, j) \text{Payoff}(t, n, j)\right] \\ &= PV_r\left[\sum_{j=0}^n \left(\frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!}\right) \pi^j (1-\pi)^{n-j} \max\left[0, S_0 u^j d^{n-j} - X\right]\right] \end{aligned}$$

■ ABM-based BOVM

$$\begin{aligned} O_0 &= PV_r[E_0(O_T)] \\ &= PV_r\left[\sum_{j=0}^n \Pr(n, j) \text{Payoff}(t, n, j)\right] \\ &= PV_r\left[\sum_{j=0}^n \Pr(n, j) \max\left\{0, S_0 + ju + (n-j)d - X\right\}\right] \end{aligned}$$



© Financial Risk Management, LLC

13

13

Summary

- Performance of 7 strategies, 3 variables simulated, and 3 different strike prices
- Focus, for illustration, on correlation effects
 - Stock returns and volatility (high influence)
 - Stock returns and interest rates
 - Volatility and interest rates
- ABM results very similar to GBM results



© Financial Risk Management, LLC

14

14